Data Analysis and Manifold Learning Lecture 6: Probabilistic PCA and Factor Analysis

> Radu Horaud INRIA Grenoble Rhone-Alpes, France Radu.Horaud@inrialpes.fr http://perception.inrialpes.fr/

Outline of Lecture 6

- A short reminder from Lecture 1
- Probabilistic formulation of PCA
- Maximum-likelihood PCA
- EM PCA
- What is Bayesian PCA?
- Factor Analysis

Material for This Lecture

- C. M. Bishop. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. 2006. (Chapter 12)
- More involved readings:
 - S. Roweis. EM algorithms of PCA and SPCA. NIPS 1998.
 - M. E. Tipping and C. M. Bishop. Pobabilistic Principal Component Analysis. J. R. Stat. Soc. B. 1999.
 - M. E. Tipping and C. M. Bishop. Mixtures of Probabilistic Principal Component Analysers. Neural Computation. 1999.

PCA at a Glance

- The input (observation) space: $\mathbf{X} = [x_1 \dots x_j \dots x_n],$ $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^D$
- The output (latent) space: $\mathbf{Y} = [m{y}_1 \dots m{y}_j \dots m{y}_n]$, $m{y}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- Projection: $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{W}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ with \mathbf{W}^{\top} a $d \times D$ matrix.
- Reconstruction: $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{Y}$ with \mathbf{W} a $D \times d$ matrix.
- $\mathbf{W}^{\top}\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}_d$, i.e., \mathbf{W}^{\top} is a row-orthonormal matrix when both data sets \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are represented in orthonormal bases: $\boldsymbol{y}_j = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{x}_j - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}).$
- $\mathbf{W}^{\top}\mathbf{W}^{\top} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_d^{-1}$, i.e., this corresponds to the case of whitening: $y_j = \mathbf{\Lambda}_d^{-1/2} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\top} (x_j \overline{x})$.
- Remember that W[⊤] was estimated from the *d* largest eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of the data covariance matrix.

From Lecture #1: Data Projection on a Linear Subspace

• From
$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{W}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$$
 we have
 $\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}^{\top} = \mathbf{W}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_d \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\top} \mathbf{W}$

• The projected data has a diagonal covariance matrix: $\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^{\top} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_d$, by identification we obtain

$$\mathbf{W}^{ op} = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{ op}$$

2 The projected data has an identity covariance matrix, this is called *whitening the data*: $\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^{\top} = \mathbf{I}_d$

$$\mathbf{W}^{ op} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_d^{-rac{1}{2}} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{ op}$$

• In what follow, we will consider ${\bf W}$ (reconstruction) istead of ${\bf W}^{\top}$ (projection).

The Probabilistic Framework (I)

 Consider again the *reconstruction* of the observed variables from the latent variables. A point x is reconstructed from y with:

$$x-\mu=\mathbf{W}y+arepsilon$$

• $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the reconstruction error and let's suppose that it has a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and spherical covariance:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

The Probabilistic Framework (II)

• We can now define the conditional distribution of the observed variable *x* conditioned on the value of the latent variable *y*:

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

• The prior distribution of the latent variable is a Gaussian with zero-mean and unit-covariance:

$$P(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{y}|0, \mathbf{I})$$

• The marginal distribution P(x) can be obtained from the sum and product rules, supposing continuous latent variables:

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{y} P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) P(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y}$$

• This is a linear-Gaussian model, hence it is Gaussian as well:

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{C})$$

The Probabilistic Framework (III)

• The mean and covariance of this *predictive distribution* can be formally derived from the expression of *x* and from the Gaussian distributions just defined:

$$E[\mathbf{x}] = E[\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \mathbf{W}E[\mathbf{y}] + E[\boldsymbol{\mu}] + E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

$$\mathbf{C} = E[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}] = E[(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})]^{\top}$$

$$= \mathbf{W}E[\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^{\top}]\mathbf{W}^{\top} + E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\top}] = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^{\top} + \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}$$

 If assumed that y and ε are independent. Gaussian distributions require the inverse of the covariance matrix:

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1} = \sigma^{-2} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\top})$$

• Where $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{W}^{\top}\mathbf{W} + \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}$ is a $d \times d$ matrix. This is interesting when $d \ll D$.

Maximum-likelihood PCA (I)

The observed-data log-likelihood writes:

$$\ln P(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{W},\sigma^2) = \sum_{j=1}^n \ln P(\boldsymbol{x}_j|\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{W},\sigma^2)$$

• This expression can be developed using the previous equations, to obtain:

$$\ln P(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{C}) = -\frac{n}{2} (D \ln(2\pi) + \ln |\mathbf{C}|) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{C}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Maximum-likelihood PCA (II)

 The log-likelihood is quadratic in μ, by setting the derivative with respect to μ equal to zero, we obtain the expected result:

$$oldsymbol{\mu}_{ML} = \sum_{j=1}^n oldsymbol{x}_j = \overline{oldsymbol{x}}$$

 Maximization with respect to W and σ², while is more complex, has a closed-form solution:

$$\mathbf{W}_{ML} = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{d}} - \sigma_{ML}^2 \mathbf{I}_d)^{1/2} \mathbf{R}$$
$$\sigma_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{D-d} \sum_{i=d+1}^D \lambda_i$$

• With $\Sigma_X = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^{\top}$, d < D, and $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^{\top} = \mathbf{I}$ (a $d \times d$ matrix).

Maximum-likelihood PCA (Discussion)

The covariance of the predictive density, C = WW^T + σ²I, is not affected by the arbitrary orthogonal transformation R of the latent space:

$$\mathbf{C} = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{d}} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\top} - \sigma^2 (\mathbf{I} - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\top})$$

- The covariance projected onto a unit vector is v[⊤]Cv. We obtain the following cases:
 - v is orthogonal to $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}$, then $v^{\top}\mathbf{C}v = \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}$ or the average variance associated with the discarded dimensions.
 - v is one of the column vectors of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}$, then $u_i^{ op} \mathbf{C} u_i = \lambda_i$
- Matrix **R** introduces an arbitrary orthogonal transformation of the latent space.

From Probabilistic to Standard PCA

• The maximum-likelihood solution allows to estimate the *reconstruction* matrix W and the variance σ . The *projection* can be estimated from the pseudo-inverse of the reconstruction. We obtain:

$$(\mathbf{W}^{\top}\mathbf{W})^{-1}\mathbf{W}^{\top} = (\mathbf{\Lambda}_d - \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)^{-1/2} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^{\top}$$

• When $\sigma^2 = 0$ this corresponds to the standard PCA solution – rotating, projecting and whitening the data.

EM for PCA

• We can derive an EM algorithm for PCA, by following the EM framework: derive the complete-data log-likelihood conditioned by the observed data, and take its expectation:

$$\ln P(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{W}, \sigma^2) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\ln P(\boldsymbol{x}_j | \boldsymbol{y}_j) + \ln P(\boldsymbol{y}_j))$$

• Then we take the expectation with respect to the posterior distribution of the latent variables, $E[\ln P(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{W}, \sigma^2)]$, which depends on the current model parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \mathbf{W}$, and σ^2 , as well as on (these are the posterior statistics):

$$E[\boldsymbol{y}_j] = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{x}_j - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}})$$
$$E[\boldsymbol{y}_j \boldsymbol{y}_j^{\top}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{M}^{-1} + E[\boldsymbol{y}_j] E[\boldsymbol{y}_j]^{\top}$$

The EM Algorithm

- Initialize the parameter values \mathbf{W} and σ^2 .
- E-step: Estimate the posterior statistics E[y_j] and E[y_jy_j[⊤]] using the current parameter values.
- *M-step:* Update the parameter values from the current ones to new ones:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{new} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}) E[\boldsymbol{y}_{j}]^{\top}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E[\boldsymbol{y}_{j} \boldsymbol{y}_{j}^{\top}]\right)^{-1} \\ \sigma_{new}^{2} &= \frac{1}{nD} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\|\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\|^{2} - 2E[\boldsymbol{y}_{j}]^{\top} \mathbf{W}_{new}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \\ &+ \operatorname{tr}(E[\boldsymbol{y}_{j} \boldsymbol{y}_{j}^{\top}] \mathbf{W}_{new}^{\top} \mathbf{W}_{new})) \end{aligned}$$

EM for PCA (Discussion)

- Computational efficiency for high-dimensional spaces. EM is iterative, but each iteration can be quite efficient. The covariance matrix is never estimated explicitly.
- The case of $\sigma^2 = 0$ corresponds to a valid EM algorithm: *S. Roweis.* EM algorithms of PCA and SPCA. NIPS 1998.
- The case of EM in the presence of missing data can be found in *M. E. Tipping and C. M. Bishop. Pobabilistic Principal Component Analysis. J. R. Stat. Soc. B. 1999*

Bayesian PCA (I)

- Select the dimension d of the latent space.
- The generative model just introduced (well defined likelihood function) allows to address the problem in a principled way.
- The idea is to consider each column in W as having an independent Gaussian prior:

$$P(\mathbf{W}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{2\pi}\right)^{D/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \boldsymbol{w}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{w}\right)$$

- where $\alpha_i = 1/\sigma_i^2$ is called the precision parameter. The objective is to estimate these parameters, one for each principal direction, and select only a subset of these directions.
- We need to select directions of maximum variance, hence directions with *infinite precision* will be disregarded.

Bayesian PCA (II)

- The approach is based on *evidence approximation* or *empirical Bayes*.
- The marginal likelihood function (the latent space W is *integrated out*):

$$P(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma_2) = \int \underbrace{P(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{W}, \sigma^2)}_{\mathsf{ML} \; \mathsf{PCA}} P(\mathbf{W}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\mathbf{W}$$

• The formal derivation is quite involved. The maximization with respect to the precision parameters yields a simple form:

$$\alpha_i^{new} = \frac{D}{\boldsymbol{w}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{w}}$$

 This estimation is interleaved with the EM updates for estimating W and σ².

Factor Analysis

• Probabilistic PCA so far (the predictive covariance is isotropic):

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

• In factor analysis, the covariance is diagonal rather than isotropic:

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Psi})$$

- the columns of W are called *factor loadings* and the diagonal entries of Ψ are called *uniquenesses*.
- The factor analysis point of view: one form of latent-variable density model, the form of the latent space is of interest but not the particular choice of coordinates (up to an orthogonal transformation).
- The factor analysis parameters, ${f W}$, and ${f \Psi}$ are estimated via the maximum likelihood and EM frameworks.