Data Analysis and Manifold Learning Lecture 5: Minimum-error Formulation of PCA and Fisher's Discriminant Analysis

> Radu Horaud INRIA Grenoble Rhone-Alpes, France Radu.Horaud@inrialpes.fr http://perception.inrialpes.fr/

Outline of Lecture 5

- Minimum-error formulation of PCA
- PCA for high-dimensional spaces
- Fischer's discriminant analysis for two classes and generalization to ${\cal K}$ classes

Material for This Lecture

- C. M. Bishop. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. 2006. (Chapters 4 and 12)
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_discriminant_ analysis
- Numerous textbooks treat PCA and LDA

Projecting the Data

• Let
$$\mathbf{X} = (oldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, oldsymbol{x}_j, \dots, oldsymbol{x}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^D$$
 ,

- Consider an orthonormal basis vector, e.g., the columns of a $D \times D$ orthonormal matrix U, namely $\boldsymbol{u}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_j = \delta_{ij}$.
- We can write:

$$oldsymbol{x}_j = \sum_{i=1}^D lpha_{ji}oldsymbol{u}_i$$
 with $lpha_{ji} = oldsymbol{x}_j^ opoldsymbol{u}_i$

• Moreover, consider a lower-dimensional subspace of dimension d < D. We approximate each data point with:

$$\widetilde{oldsymbol{x}}_j = \sum_{i=1}^d z_{ji}oldsymbol{u}_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^D b_ioldsymbol{u}_i$$

Minimizing the Distorsion

• Choose the vectors $\{u_j\}$ and the scalars $\{z_{ji}\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ that minimize the following distorsion error:

$$J = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_j\|^2$$

• By substitution of \tilde{x}_j and by setting the derivatives to 0, $\partial J/\partial z_{ji} = 0$, $\partial J/\partial b_i = 0$ we obtain:

$$z_{ji} = \boldsymbol{x}_j^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_i, \quad i = 1 \dots d$$
$$b_i = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_i, \quad i = d + 1 \dots D$$

Closed-form Expression of the Distorsion

 By substitution we obtain the following distorsion between each data point and its projection onto the *principal subspace*:

$$oldsymbol{x}_j - \widetilde{oldsymbol{x}}_j = \sum_{i=d+1}^D \left((oldsymbol{x}_j - \overline{oldsymbol{x}})^{ op} oldsymbol{u}_i
ight) oldsymbol{u}_i$$

This *error-vector* lies in a space perpendicular to the principal space. The distorsion becomes:

$$J = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=d+1}^{D} (\boldsymbol{x}_{j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_{i} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=d+1}^{D} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}$$

Minimizing the Distorsion (I)

• Note that in the previous equation, $1/n \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{x}_{j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_{i} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_{i})^{2}$ corresponds to the variance of the projected data onto \boldsymbol{u}_{i} . Minimizing the distorsion is equivalent to minimizing the variances along the directions perpendicular to the principal directions $\boldsymbol{u}_{1} \dots \boldsymbol{u}_{d}$. This can be done by minimizing \tilde{J} with respect to $\boldsymbol{u}_{d+1} \dots \boldsymbol{u}_{D}$:

$$\tilde{J} = \sum_{i=d+1}^{D} \boldsymbol{u}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{u}_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{D} \lambda_i (1 - \boldsymbol{u}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{u}_i)$$

Minimizing the Distorsion (II)

• By setting the derivatives to 0 we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_i} = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{u}_i = \lambda_i \boldsymbol{u}_i, \ i = d + 1 \dots D$$

• The distorsion becomes:

$$\tilde{J} = \lambda_{d+1} + \ldots + \lambda_D$$

 The principal directions correspond to the largest d eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of the covariance matrix Σ

Choosing the Dimension of the Principal Subspace

- The covariance matrix can be written as $\Sigma = U \Lambda U^{\top}$. The trace of the diagonal matrix Λ can be interpreted as the *total variance*.
- One way to choose the principal subspace is to choose the largest *d* eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs such that:

$$\alpha(d) = \frac{\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_d}{\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_D} = \frac{\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_d}{\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \approx 0.95$$

High-dimensional Data

- When D is very large, the number of data points n may be smaller than the dimension. In this case it is better to use the n × n Gram matrix instead of the D × D covariance matrix.
- For centred data we have:

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \text{ with } (\lambda_i, \boldsymbol{u}_i)$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \text{ with } (\mu_i, \boldsymbol{v}_i)$$

• By premultiplication of $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{u}=n\lambda\boldsymbol{u}$ with \mathbf{X}^{\top} we obtain:

$$oldsymbol{v} = \mathbf{X}^{ op} oldsymbol{u}$$
 and $\mu = n\lambda$

- From which we obtain: $oldsymbol{u}=rac{1}{\mu}\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{v}$
- Assuming that the eigenvectors of the Gram matrix are normalized, we obtain:

$$rac{oldsymbol{u}}{\|oldsymbol{u}\|} = rac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \mathbf{X}oldsymbol{v}$$

Discriminant Analysis

• Project the high-dimensional input vector to one dimension, i.e., along the direction of *w*:

$$y = \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}$$

- This results in a loss of information and well-separated clusters in the initial space may overlap in one dimension.
- With a proper choice of of w one can select a projection that maximizes the class separation.

Two-Class Problem

- Let's assume that the data points belong to two clusters, C_1 and C_2 and that the mean vectors of these two clusters are $\overline{x}_1 = 1/n_1 \sum_{j \in C_1} x_j$ and $\overline{x}_2 = 1/n_2 \sum_{j \in C_2} x_j$
- One can choose w to maximize the distance between the projected means: $\overline{y}_1 \overline{y}_2 = w^\top (\overline{x}_1 \overline{x}_2)$
- We can enforce the constraint w[⊤]w = 1 using a Lagrance multiplier and obtain the following solution:

$$oldsymbol{w}=\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_1-\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_2$$

• This solution is optimal when the two clusters are spherical.

Fisher's Linear Discriminant

• The solution consists in enforce small variances within each class. The criterion to be maximized becomes:

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2)^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$$

• Where the within cluster projected variance is:

$$\sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_k} (y_j - \overline{y}_k)^2$$

Maximizing Fisher's Criterion

• The criterion can be rewriten in the form:

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_B \boldsymbol{w}}{\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_W \boldsymbol{w}}$$

• Where Σ_B is the *between-cluster* covariance and Σ_W the total *within-cluster* covariance. They are given by:

$$egin{array}{rcl} oldsymbol{\Sigma}_B &=& (\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_1-\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_2)^{ op} \ oldsymbol{\Sigma}_W &=& rac{1}{n_1}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{C}_1}(oldsymbol{x}_j-\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_1)(oldsymbol{x}_j-\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_1)^{ op} \ &+& rac{1}{n_2}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{C}_2}(oldsymbol{x}_j-\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_2)(oldsymbol{x}_j-\overline{oldsymbol{x}}_2)^{ op} \end{array}$$

• Optimal solution: $m{w} = m{\Sigma}_W^{-1}(\overline{m{x}}_1 - \overline{m{x}}_2)$

Discriminant Analysis

- The method just described can be applied to a training data set, where each data point belongs to one of the two clusters.
- Once the choice of an optimal direction of projection was performded, the projected data can be used to construct a discriminant.
- The projected training data belongs to a 1D Gaussian mixture with two clusters, C₁ and C₂. The parameters of eachone of these two clusters can be computed with (k = 1, 2):

$$\pi_k = n_k/n, \ \overline{y}_k = \boldsymbol{w}^\top \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \ \text{and} \ \sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_k} (y_j - \overline{y}_k)^2$$

• Classification of a *new data point* y can be done using the class-posterior probabilities:

$$\mathcal{C} = \arg\max_k \pi_k \mathcal{N}(y|\overline{y}_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

Fisher's Discriminant for Multiple Classes

- The two-class discriminant analysis can be extended to $K>2\,$ classes.
- The idea is to consider several linear projections, i.e., $y_k = \boldsymbol{w}_k^\top \boldsymbol{x}$ with $k = 1 \dots K 1$.
- A formal derivation can be found in: C. M. Bishop. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. 2006. (Chapter 4, pp 191-192).